1	FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
2	PROPERTY TAX OVERSIGHT PUBLIC HEARING
3	
4	
5	
6	DATE: January 13, 2021
7	TIME: 10:00 a.m. to 10:58 a.m.
8	LOCATION: Via Virtual Webinar
9	
10	
11	
12	This public hearing came on to be heard at the time and
13	place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings
14	were reported by:
15	
16	Peggy L. Ward, Court Reporter For The Record Reporting, Inc.
17	1500 Mahan Drive, Suite 140 Tallahassee, Florida 32308
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	MS. FORRESTER: Okay. Good morning. My name
4	is Janice Forrester. I'm the Revenue Program
5	Administrator for the Technical Assistance Section
6	and Property Tax Oversight Program. I will be the
7	moderator for today's hearing. My role as
8	moderator is to preside in a neutral fashion.
9	Staff from the Department are here today to
10	receive comments on the draft amendments. At this
11	time I would like staff to introduce themselves.
12	MS. HARPER: My name is Jenna Harper. I'm the
13	compliance office manager for compliance
14	assistance.
15	MR. COTTON: Mike Cotton, technical
16	assistance.
17	MR. ZINGALE: Jim Zingale, executive director.
18	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Rachel Goldstein. I'm one of
19	the attorneys with the Department of Revenue.
20	MR. HAMILTON: Mark Hamilton, general counsel.
21	MS. FORRESTER: Thank you. Today is
22	January 13th, 2021. This is a public rule hearing
23	scheduled under Subsection 3 of Section 120.54
24	Florida Statutes. The Department is holding this
25	hearing to discuss the draft amendments to rules

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 850.222.5491

and form.

2	The Department published two notices of
3	proposed rules in the Florida Administrative
4	Register. The notice for Rule 12D-16.002 was
5	published on December 21st, 2020, Volume 46,
6	No. 246, pages 5,593 through 5,594.
7	And the notice for Chapter 12-9 was published
8	on December 22nd, 2020, Volume 46, No. 207,
9	pages 5,609 through 5,617.
10	For those at the computer, the draft rules and
11	forms are on the Department's proposed rules web
12	page at floridarevenue.com/rules. Select the
13	property tax proposed rules drop-down at the
14	bottom of the page, then select Chapter 12-9 link.
15	I'll now ask Mike Cotton to explain the
16	process that we will use for taking comments on
17	the agenda items. Please note the Department
18	offices are still temporarily closed to the
19	public. This hearing is taking place using
20	electronic media.
21	MR. COTTON: Good morning, ladies and
22	gentlemen. If you are attending this hearing
23	using your computer, raise your hand using the
24	icon on the grab tab which is located on the left
25	of your control panel. We'll address you when

it's your turn to speak. Please state your name and who you represent. If you experience difficulty, use the quick chat option to send me a message.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

If you are attending this hearing using the option telephone with audio PIN and you have a question or comment, send an email to DORPTO@floridarevenue.com to let us know that you wish to speak. We'll address you by name and unmute your phone when it's your turn to speak.

If you are using the option telephone with no audit PIN and you want to ask a question or make a comment, you must send an email to DORPTO@floridarevenue.com. Please use the subject line January 13 Hearing. In the email, add your name and whom you represent. We'll acknowledge your email or read your comment out loud.

All comments received during the hearing will be recorded by the court reporter. Written comments will be posted to the proposed rules page with the transcript. Thank you.

MS. FORRESTER: Thank you, Mike. We'll take comments on each agenda item. For anyone using a computer, raise your hand electronically, please tell us your name and whom you represent.

1	We ask that you provide only comments or
2	suggested changes that are directly relevant to
3	the draft rules. Please hold all other general
4	comments until after we have discussed the agenda
5	items.
6	I'm now turning the hearing over to
7	Miss Goldstein to present the proposed rules and
8	forms.
9	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Good morning. Today's public
10	hearing is intended to discuss the Department's
11	proposed rules and associated forms referenced in
12	Chapter 12-9 and 12D-16.002 of the Florida
13	Administrative Code.
14	These rules and forms are related to the
15	Department's educational program for various
16	certifications, including for property appraisers
17	and for tax collectors.
18	This process has been a lengthy and ongoing
19	process which began January 23rd, 2019 with a rule
20	development workshop where the Department took
21	comments and made changes to the rule associated
22	with those comments received.
23	Next, the rule was publicly workshopped on
24	November 17th, 2020 and subsequently went before
25	the Governor and cabinet in a public meeting on

December 15th, 2020 for approval of the published notice of proposed rule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

This hearing today is intended to solicit any additional comments as determinative changes are necessary before proceeding to final adoption.

The way today's hearing is going to be structured is I'm going to go through each rule and form one by one, summarize any changes or improvements and open it up for members of the public to provide specific comments relevant to the topics covered in each section of the rule.

12 If you have general comments regarding the 13 program overall, I ask that you hold off on those 14 until the end.

These proposed rules are intended to preserve our current process that reflect the Department is currently doing, with the addition of a few updates and improvement.

Before we begin, I just want to highlight a few of the changes that we've made to these rules. With respect to the committees, the current draft rule before you reflects the status quo, Department's existing use of committees. Since the nature of the training and

certification courses is constantly in flux, we

decided not to adopt a course list to rules, 1 2 update course lists are provided online on the Department's website. Those course lists have 3 4 been updated with course descriptions or 5 hyperlinks the course detail if they are housed on another website. 6 We have extended our digital accessibility 7 making registration, submission of forms, and 8 tuition payment available online. 9 Now if you will turn to the rule text, I will 10 begin with Rule 12-9.002 entitled Definition. 11 For 12 this one, we simply updated definitions for 13 clarity. Are there any comments on this rule? MS. FORRESTER: This is Janice --14 15 MR. COTTON: There are no comments. 16 MS. FORRESTER: This is Janice Forrester. That was Rule 12-9.001. 17 18 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry. 19 MR. HAMILTON: You might want to reask if 20 there's comments to that one. 21 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. I'm just going to reask the question. Rule 12-9.001 entitled Definition, 22 23 the only changes to this section were made based 24 on clarifications to the definition. Do we have 25 any comments on this rule?

1	MR. COTTON: I see no comments at this time.
2	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Rule 12-9.002, this is
3	the rule explaining the various certification
4	programs, including the addition of the Certified
5	Cadastralist of Florida in the rule text.
6	And to provide this, the Department will
7	publish an informational guide on the rule itself
8	and the programs, which will not be promulgated
9	but will be made publicly available. This rule
10	also describes the function and duties of the
11	committees. Are there any comments on this rule?
12	MR. COTTON: No comments.
13	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Rule 12-9.003 entitled
14	Qualifications, this rule is to establish updated
15	qualification criteria and specified coursework
16	for the professional certification of property
17	appraisers, tax collectors, their employees and
18	employees of the Department. It also adds
19	certification criteria for the cadastralist
20	program. Do we have any comments on this rule?
21	MR. COTTON: No comments.
22	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Rule 12-9.0031 entitled
23	Approval of Courses, this rule is intended to
24	specify criteria and procedures for the approval
25	of courses for credit towards certification,

1	recertification, or reinstatement of a
2	professional certification, and to incorporate a
3	new form, DR-4002, which we will cover at the end,
4	for obtaining approval of a course for either
5	certification or continuing education.
6	Do we have any comments on this rule?
7	MR. COTTON: Miss Cucchi, I see you have a
8	comment, a question. Please go ahead.
9	Miss Cucchi?
10	MS. FORRESTER: This is Janice Forrester.
11	Miss Cucchi, you might need to unmute your phone.
12	MS. CUCCHI: Can you hear me now?
13	MS. FORRESTER: Yes.
14	MR. COTTON: Yes, ma'am.
15	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. What I was asking is I
16	was having problems with the getting the hand
17	raised. It wasn't showing up because I had this
18	other screen that showed Mike Cotton's screen
19	shot. So it was covering over the hand.
20	Now that I've figured out what the hand is,
21	can we go back? Can I ask some questions?
22	Because I did have I did have other questions
23	of the previous.
24	MR. COTTON: Yes. Yes, ma'am, go ahead.
25	Start with your first question, please.

Г

1	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. So on Proposed Rule 9.001,
2	the Proposed Rule defines committee members, and
3	my question is does the I've got a few
4	questions. The first one is does this proposed
5	rule require all committee members to be either
6	elected property appraisers or elected tax
7	collectors?
8	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. That is the way the rule
9	is structured at the time.
10	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. And next question, so
11	under this rule, no others could be committee
12	members, like a cadastralist association member or
13	CPAs or attorneys or private appraisers or
14	taxpayers. Is that correct?
15	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes.
16	MS. CUCCHI: My recommendation in here, then,
17	is that the committees be expanded to include
18	other such members.
19	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will take that into
20	consideration.
21	MS. CUCCHI: I also have a recommendation, and
22	that would be to include the definitions for
23	professionally accepted appraisal practices and
24	for appropriate appraisal methodologies. Because
25	these are two very important statutory terms and

Г

they're addressed in one of your rules below, 1 2 12-9.0031. And, you know, how is your staff or anyone 3 4 else going to know if a course is properly 5 approved if no one knows what professionally accepted appraisal practices and appropriate 6 appraisal methodologies are. 7 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will take that into 8 consideration. 9 10 MS. CUCCHI: Okay. And just as far as 9.001, I'm still working on things and I expect to have 11 12 more and to submit more at a later time. 13 I also had some questions on 9.002. That rule, it states, in part, that committee members 14 15 who are property appraisers and tax collectors 16 shall hold the designation of Certified Florida 17 Appraiser or Certified Florida Collector, but then 18 Proposed Rule 12-9.002(5) requires appointment of, 19 quote, the president of the member state 20 association, and obviously that person is going to 21 be a property appraiser. 22 So the question is what happens when the 23 president of the state association, which under 24 the Proposed Rule 9.002(5) shall be appointed but 25 does not hold the designation that 12-9.001(9)

1	
1	requires the committee member shall hold.
2	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.
3	MS. CUCCHI: There seems to be a conflict.
4	MS. GOLDSTEIN: We will look into that as a
5	potential for clarification.
6	MS. CUCCHI: And you've already answered two
7	questions before, but the other thing, Proposed
8	Rule 9.002 cites the statutes 145.10, 145.11, and
9	195.002 as authority for this rule.
10	194.10 and 140 I'm sorry. 145.10 and
11	145.11 states the Department shall establish and
12	maintain a certified property appraiser program.
13	195.002 is titled the supervision by the
14	Department of Revenue and it includes DOR's duty
15	to oversee property appraisers. I'm just not
16	seeing the authority within the statute to let
17	property appraisers oversee themselves or DOR.
18	So I'm asking you what is the exact phrase or
19	sentence within DOR's law, implemented statutory
20	authority, citations for these proposed rules to
21	allow the overseeing property appraisers to
22	determine and approve the certification of the
23	overseer of DOR employees.
24	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Well, I would just respond
25	that all statutory authority is cited in the

proposed rule text. The Department has the 1 2 authority under Section 195.002 to conduct the schools and training for state and local 3 4 personnel, which would include its own employees. 5 The authority under the same to establish by rule committees for admission and certification. 6 So the officials who serve on the 7 certifications do not, you know, determine and 8 approve. They are merely functioning in this rule 9 10 to recommend qualified applicants to the Department for certification. 11 12 And publicly noticed meetings and -- it's all 13 based on that applicant's completion of required 14 coursework. 15 MS. CUCCHI: But, again, you know, I'm just not seeing the exact phrase when -- when there's 16 17 supervision by the Department of Revenue and 18 you've got rules that require this committee to 19 approve and if they don't approve, then presumably 20 a person does not get certified. 21 So you've got supervision by the Department of 22 Revenue and you're using that as statutory authority to let property appraisers who are 23 24 supposed to be the overseeing supervise DOR 25 employees?

I mean, is there an exact phrase or sentence you can point me to that shows me that this is okay?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. HAMILTON: Miss Cucchi, we've provided the statutory citations. We've advised as to what the rule provides for. We appreciate your comments. If you have any additional comments regarding the rule, we would be happy to hear them. Otherwise, we've explained these statutory citations in the rules and what the Department's position is.

MS. CUCCHI: Okay. Well, just for the record, I do not feel that this question was answered, because I asked for an exact phrase or sentence. And just providing a statute that's already in the authority but not providing the exact phrase or sentence is not what the question was.

But I will go on. I do recommend a separate certification for DOR employees that is not the same as the property appraisers, because DOR staff don't produce assessment rules. You know, they approve them.

And there's a lot of law in Chapter 192, 193, 195 and several others and there should be a separate certification for the duties of DOR staff because there's different duties between DOR staff

1 and property appraisers. 2 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will take that into 3 consideration. 4 MR. COTTON: Do you have any more comments, Miss Cucchi? 5 MS. CUCCHI: Are we on Rule 9.003? 6 I'm not 7 sure where we were at when I finally got this 8 figured out. 9 MR. COTTON: One moment. 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: We ended on 12-9.0031, 11 Approval of Courses. 12 MS. CUCCHI: So can I then comment on that rule as well? 13 14 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. 15 MS. CUCCHI: Okay. Proposed Rule 12-9.003(1) 16 states, in part, a person who is a Certified Florida Evaluator or a Certified Florida Collector 17 18 Assistant upon being appointed or elected to 19 office will be recognized as a Certified Florida 20 Appraiser or a Certified Florida Collector upon 21 taking office. And that's the end of the quote. 22 I've got a few guestions. First one being, 23 before being recertified from a Certified Florida 24 Evaluator to a Certified Florida Appraiser, 25 shouldn't elected officials comply with the law

1	and meet the continuing education requirement
2	under Florida Statute 145.10(2)(c) for the fee
3	requirement under Florida Statute 195.002 and
4	Florida Administrative Code Rules?
5	MS. GOLDSTEIN: That is something we'll take
б	into consideration.
7	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. Next thing is should an
8	elected official also be required to complete an
9	application and be screened by the committee to
10	ensure that the current requirements of the
11	Certified Florida Appraiser are met.
12	MS. GOLDSTEIN: That is something we will also
13	take into consideration.
14	MS. CUCCHI: And, again, there's the problem
15	with the conflict of the two, 9.003 and 9.006.
16	And I would like to know which law DOR would
17	comply with, which one which recognizes 9.003,
18	which recognizes a CFE and a CFA upon taking
19	office, or the draft, 9.006 for which no
20	certification shall be issued until an application
21	has been approved by the committee.
22	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will also take that
23	into consideration. Do you have any other
24	comments on this rule?
25	MS. CUCCHI: Yes, I do. Proposed

Rule 9.003(4) does not require any course in 1 2 Florida law. And Florida property tax law is unique in many ways and is not taught in the 3 4 approved coursework. 5 There was actually an appeals court in Singh versus Disney that recently agreed with the trial 6 court and said that professionally accepted 7 practice cannot be used in a manner that violated 8 Florida law. 9 I'm just putting out here the VAB training 10 11 contains Florida property tax law and training and examination and it is available at no cost. 12 13 So I'm curious why isn't there any course in Florida law required prior to certification and 14 15 why isn't the VAB training a required approved course. Can you answer those questions? 16 17 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I can answer them to the 18 extent that we will take those suggestions into consideration. If you have, you know, any 19 20 recommendations for courses that you would like to 21 see approved that aren't currently approved, we 22 would obviously review those. So I invite you to 23 submit that. 24 MS. CUCCHI: Okay. Well, then, on that I am

17

submitting the VAB training as a required approved

1 course. 2 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. MS. CUCCHI: Also proposed Rule 9.003(6) 3 4 states, in part, that applicants must pass the 5 required examination. That's a quote. However, 6 this proposed rule doesn't state what passing an examination is. 7 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah. That standard is 8 determined by the course provider. 9 MS. CUCCHI: Okay. This proposed rule also 10 11 allows for getting credit without taking the 12 course and states, in part, that the applicant 13 makes a passing grade as determined by the course 14 provider. 15 Just, you know, DBPR specifies in its rule for private appraisers what the requirements are for 16 17 passing an exam. And I would say rather than 18 leave it up to each course provider what a passing 19 grade is, shouldn't DOR rules also have a standard 20 like DBPR for what constitutes passing the exam. MS. GOLDSTEIN: We will look into that. 21 We will take that into consideration. 22 23 MS. CUCCHI: Also, this draft rule requires 24 presence in the classroom for all instructional hours in passing the exam. The rule also allows 25

for challenging the exam without taking the 1 2 course. So what happens if a person is not present for 3 4 all of the instructional hours but passes the exam at the end of the course? It seems like there's 5 something in the middle there that's missing. 6 Okay. We will look into that. 7 MS. GOLDSTEIN: MS. CUCCHI: And the term "passes the exam" is 8 much more clear than makes a passing grade. 9 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. 11 MS. CUCCHI: Next thing is, in removing the chairman's ability to approve and appoint 12 13 proctors, don't you think that there should be standards for qualifying a proctor? Because it 14 15 used to be that the chairman, which was the DOR, either executive director or a designee, at least 16 17 had the ability to step in if they didn't like 18 what was going on. 19 So I'm just wondering, now that that's being 20 taken out, would you consider at least standards 21 for qualifying a proctor? 22 MS. GOLDSTEIN: We will consider all of your 23 suggestions. 24 MS. CUCCHI: Okay. I just want to make sure 25 that, you know, a proctor can't be a property

1	appraiser's employee and then its exam is just,
2	hey, what's your name? Okay. You get a passing
3	grade because you provided your name.
4	You know, I don't want to go into the
5	ridiculous, but it just seems like there should be
6	some some standard.
7	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.
8	MS. CUCCHI: In addition, I think that there
9	needs to be in (5) mathematical principles and
10	procedures, and then also (4)(a), the basic
11	appraisal principles needs to address the
12	appraisal process and procedures, not just the
13	principles.
14	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.
15	MS. CUCCHI: I think there's training that's
16	needed on Florida specific mathematical
17	procedures, probably on computers and the computer
18	software to perform the mathematical calculations
19	required to apply the statutes and the
20	Department's standard measures of value.
21	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Well, again, I would
22	just invite you, if you have specific courses in
23	mind, to provide us with that information.
24	MS. CUCCHI: Well, I guess what I'm saying is
25	that even with even more generic topics, you do

not even discuss mathematics or budgets or those 1 2 kinds of things. So I would think that in the topic that should 3 4 be included, not necessarily -- I don't 5 necessarily have a specific course for approval, but it should be a topic that should be 6 considered. 7 8 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. 9 MS. CUCCHI: And that's all I have for that 10 rule. 11 MS. GOLDSTEIN: All right. Do we have any other comments on 12-9.0031? 12 13 MR. COTTON: No. 14 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Next we have 15 Rule 12-9.0032 entitled Department Sponsored Courses. This rule specifies the procedures for 16 17 registration, payment, cancellation and refunds 18 for Department sponsored courses. 19 As I mentioned before, we have tried to 20 implement some improvement for ease of use and to 21 digitize some of the processes to make it easier 22 so that people are able to do many of these 23 functions online. 24 Do we have any comments on this rule? 25 MR. COTTON: Miss Cucchi, I see you still --

1	
1	you have your hand raised. Do you have a comment
2	or a question?
3	MS. CUCCHI: Yes, I had a comment to 9.0031,
4	but was muted out apparently. Proposed Rule
5	9.0031(1) contains topics approved for
6	precertification elective courses, which states,
7	in part, quote, courses must impart expertise in
8	one of the following areas as it relates to the
9	professional designation of the requesting
10	individual.
11	Professionally accepted appraisal practices,
12	appropriate appraisal methodologies, cadastral
13	mapping, tax administration, assessment or
14	collection in Florida. Once again, the elective
15	course doesn't contain any Florida law to qualify
16	for initial certification.
17	So you do recognize Florida law in
18	recertification. I'd like Florida law to also be
19	a topic recognized in precertification. And I'd
20	like for the VAB training to be a required
21	approved course.
22	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will take those
23	comments into consideration.
24	MS. CUCCHI: And then public trust in
25	government is paramount. Florida Section

1	112.311(6) states promoting the public interest
2	and maintaining the respect of the people in their
3	government must be a foremost concern.
4	This is something that both property
5	appraisers and DOR employees have had trouble, you
6	know, keeping arm's length.
7	So I would also recommend training in how to
8	maintain arm's-length transactions or
9	arm's-length relationships to avoid undue
10	influence and corruption.
11	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. I just would like to
12	respond. We received a comment like this from you
13	before, I think, at a previous workshop. And we
14	have addressed that issue in this iteration of the
15	rule test.
16	The proposed application for Florida
17	Professional Certification, which is Form DR-4001,
18	was amended to require all applicants and
19	designees to annually affirm their continued
20	qualified government employment status and to
21	acknowledge that they are subject to the Florida
22	Code of Ethics for public officers and employees,
23	Article 2, Section 8 of the Florida Constitution
24	that sets forth ethics requirements and standards
25	for officials, local government employees and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

state employees.

And then, in addition, Proposed Rule 12-9.0032 states the Department sponsored continuing ed courses will include four hours of ethics training to meet the annual education requirement of Section 112.3142.

MS. CUCCHI: Okay. What I'm talking about is ethics that goes beyond 112. Are you aware of a Governor's order, Executive Order 19 -- I'm sorry. I'll get to that at a different point because my train of thought is -- is elsewhere.

But when we get to that portion I'd like to talk about that as well. I do have more questions on this particular rule.

> MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.

MS. CUCCHI: DBPR requires private appraisers to demonstrate by passing an examination a knowledge of mathematics. I think that a course in mathematics would be very helpful to property appraisers and staff who lack any mathematical training requirement in these rules.

I think it should include how to calculate the 22 23 levels of assessment, which has resulted in both property appraisers and DOR PTO contributing to erroneous levels of assessment being sent annually

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

to the Department of Education.

And I also believe that the mathematical content should include the discriminatory impact of numerous counties failure to make cost of sale deductions in arriving in just values of tangible personal property, which has resulted in a strong negative impact to Florida's job creating businesses.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will review those suggestions.

MS. CUCCHI: Also in this rule, the Department refers to materials. And the materials online show a Florida professional certification program guide. And within that on the Department's website it says that all materials in the guide will be found in rule or statute.

And I looked at the guide. Can you tell me exactly where the language on Page 20 of 88 of the material, specifically AI101GR, it's a course, can be found within Rule Chapter 12-9?

21 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I can't. But if, you know, 22 you want to provide that as a comment, we will 23 look into it.

24 MS. CUCCHI: Also, in the past, DOR's had 25 problems with program guides. I haven't gotten

really extensively into the program guide because 1 2 there's been so much other information. But I just wanted to say that I probably will 3 4 have other comments. If you remember (inaudible) 5 did not like the program guide before. That was what got DOR into trouble to begin with saying 6 that there was unadopted rules in there and that 7 the whole program guide should be adopted as a 8 rule. 9 10 And I would submit that the program guide, at 11 least at this point, should probably also be 12 adopted as a rule. 13 MS. GOLDSTEIN: We'll take that into Okay. consideration. 14 15 MS. CUCCHI: And then I had a question. It talks about 50 minutes of class time for each 16 17 hour. And I wasn't really clear if that is including the break time or if that means that if 18 19 somebody skips four hours but then comes in and 20 does the rest of the class, with all of the breaks and everything else, if that's in addition to the 21 22 break time. If it's just the break time, then it 23 should be written more clearly. 24 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will take that into 25 consideration.

1 MS. CUCCHI: And then I think you had moved on to 9.0032? 2 MS. GOLDSTEIN: 3 Yes. 4 MS. CUCCHI: Proposed Rule 9.0032 provides the 5 process for registration of DOR sponsored courses. I'm just putting in a suggestion that to promote 6 public trust, similar to the VAB training, to 7 please consider the public to audit without credit 8 at no charge when space is available after the 9 10 paid registration is closed so you charge only for 11 the public when the course is taken for credit, not just for, you know, auditing purposes to see 12 what's being trained. It would just open up some 13 14 transparency. Okay. We will look into that. 15 MS. GOLDSTEIN: MS. CUCCHI: And that's all I have for that 16 17 rule. 18 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Do we have any other 19 comments on this rule? 20 MR. COTTON: No. 21 MS. GOLDSTEIN: All right. Hearing none, we'll move on. Rule 12-9.004, which is entitled 22 Application for Certification, this rule specifies 23 24 the application process for those applying for 25 professional certification and incorporates the

new application form DR-4001 which will be 1 2 discussed at the end. Do we have any comments on this rule? 3 4 MR. COTTON: Yes, go ahead, Miss Cucchi. MS. CUCCHI: Yeah, the rule does address the 5 6 completion of the application form. And I would recommend a revised application for certification. 7 The application form should address the 8 9 appraisal specific industry standard code of 10 ethics consistent with professionally accepted 11 appraisal practices. 12 And I'll hold the rest of my comments till the 13 end when we get to that part. Okay. Thank you. 14 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Next we 15 have Rule 12-9.0055, Fees and Tuition. This rule 16 clarifies fees associated with obtaining and 17 retaining professional certification. 18 It also explains the payment process for 19 department sponsored courses via the new online 20 registration and payment portal. Do we have any 21 comments on this rule? MR. COTTON: No comments. 22 23 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Seeing none, Rule 12-9.006 entitled Certification, amendments 24 25 to this rule clarified the certification

application recommendation process by the 1 2 Admissions and Certification Committee. Do we have any comments on this rule? 3 4 MR. COTTON: We do. Go ahead, Miss Cucchi, 5 with your comment or question. MS. CUCCHI: Yeah, this rule contains the 6 discretion of the property appraiser to determine 7 salary for staff. I would just suggest that the 8 rule go a little bit further and it shouldn't just 9 10 simply be the discretion in regards to the salary. It should be discretion if the PA lawfully 11 determines that certification and the job 12 13 performance of the employee warrants the pay raise. You know, staff should be certified and 14 15 performing well with what they learned in a performance review. 16 17 So I would just ask that that be expanded a 18 little bit more. Certainly give discretion to pay 19 forward but to make sure that, you know, the 20 certification, that they learn something and that 21 they're using it in their performance. MS. GOLDSTEIN: We will take that under 22 23 advisement. 24 MS. CUCCHI: Also, this draft rule stipulates 25 the special salary for employees. And DOR staff

does get certified and it talks about the 1 2 official, but it doesn't state if DOR who's the person that decides if they also get a salary 3 increase. 4 The draft rule leaves that decision up to, you 5 6 know, the local property appraisers, but it doesn't say anything about DOR staff. So there 7 needs to be something in there. 8 9 Are DOR employees currently eligible for a special salary when they're certified? 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: 11 No. MS. CUCCHI: Okay. I guess if in the future 12 13 they were going to or had any possibility of promotion or anything else, this rule should at 14 15 least address the certified DOR employees. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will take that under 16 17 advisement. Is that all of your comments for this 18 rule? 19 MS. CUCCHI: Yes. I'm sorry. But, again, there's a lot of these rules that I'm not 20 21 completely finished evaluating, so there will 22 probably be more comments coming. But not at this 23 time. 24 I understand. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. 25 Rule 12-9.007 entitled Recertification, these

1	amendments provide updates to requirements for all
2	certified professionals to annually certify their
3	government employment, acknowledge the Florida
4	Code of Ethics for public officers and employees
5	and pay an annual recertification fee using DR
6	Form DR-4001.
7	Do we have any comments on this rule?
8	MR. COTTON: Miss Cucchi, go ahead with your
9	question or comment.
10	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. Proposed Rule 12-9.007
11	(1)(b) stipulates continuing education for
12	certified employees is at the discretion of the
13	official. And then of course official is defined
14	as a tax collector or property appraiser.
15	So the question would be what official
16	determines continuing education for DOR employees.
17	MS. GOLDSTEIN: That's that's a comment
18	that we'll take into consideration in terms of if
19	whether a clarification is needed for this rule.
20	MS. CUCCHI: Also a lack of continuing
21	education requirement for recertification of DOR
22	staff and property appraiser staff is really
23	totally inconsistent with Florida standards for
24	certified appraisers and with federal and industry
25	standards.

DOR did have continuing education requirement 1 2 for staff in its 2019 proposed rules and I'm wondering why did DOR decide to remove that from 3 its 2019 draft in this particular draft. 4 5 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Well, I can answer that by б saying, you know, the purpose of these rule amendments is to capture the Department's current 7 process. 8 So training for certification is available to 9 10 staff but is not required, as you pointed out. In 11 that particular workshop that you are referencing, 12 local officials expressed concern that an annual 13 continuing educational requirement would be cost prohibitive. 14 15 So continuing education continues to be required for the elected officials. But we are 16 17 focusing efforts into expanding our online course offering so that if a continuing educational 18 19 requirement for staff is introduced in the future, 20 the cost associated with that requirement would 21 not be overly burdensome. 22 MS. CUCCHI: Okay. I do have a comment to

MS. CUCCHI: Okay. I do have a comment to that as well. I looked over the transcript, and the person that said it did say that continuing education was -- for staff was removed from the

23

24

1	existing rules long ago for cost reasons.
2	But I don't know if you are aware that DOR
3	records show that property appraisers actually
4	voted to remove staff training to increase the
5	retirement benefits.
6	If continuing education was required for
7	recertification, then the State considered the
8	salary increase a bonus and it wouldn't use it to
9	be included in the calculation of requirement
10	benefits. And that's from a document that DOR
11	provided to me some years ago.
12	And then the other comment I had to that is
13	the person admitted that continuing education back
14	then wasn't online and now there are plenty of
15	opportunities. The rough cost that was provided
16	was for travel, you know, hotel stays and meals
17	and all sorts of things.
18	But, you know, what cost is there for staff to
19	take a free online VAB training each year? So I
20	would say that it should, again, be relooked at
21	because today we don't need to pay 600 to a
22	thousand dollars or whatever the rough cost was
23	for travel. Training can be done online. And
24	some of that can even be done for free.
25	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. We will take that into

consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. CUCCHI: And then DOR cites 145.10 as the law implemented by this rule. 145.10(2)(c) of the Florida Statutes states that in order to remain certified, the property appraiser shall thereafter be required to complete each year a course of continuing education. And it goes on from there.

What is the statutory authority for DOR to disregard in its proposed rule the statutory requirement under 145.10(2)(c) to complete for recertification each year continuing education?

MS. GOLDSTEIN: That question is outside of the scope of that particular rule.

MS. CUCCHI: Well, you aren't requiring staff to take it and there's a statute that says that in order to recertify, staff does need to take it. So what is the authority to have staff not taking recertification courses?

MS. GOLDSTEIN: I -- we will take that comment under advisement. You know, I've already answered that question, so...

MS. CUCCHI: Are you aware that 120.54 does require that the people be there to answer questions? This is a very simple question. You cite authority -- 145.10(2)(a)(c) is part of the

1	
1	authority that you cite. And that has a statutory
2	requirement for continuing ed to remain
3	recertified.
4	So if you are not going to have staff be
5	recertifying, you're taking continuing education
6	to be recertified, then you aren't complying with
7	the statute.
8	So I'm just merely asking is the person in the
9	room available to who made this decision?
10	MS. GOLDSTEIN: I understand your question,
11	but it's not in both of these rules. We're here
12	today to talk about the proposed rules, not
13	statutory
14	MS. CUCCHI: It is the proposed rule. The
15	proposed rule does not state that staff has any
16	continuing education requirement and the statute
17	does.
18	So the rules can't do something the statute
19	you know, the rules have to do what the statute
20	says. You can't just make your own rules up. So
21	it is very pertinent to these proposed rules.
22	MS. GOLDSTEIN: That is not our interpretation
23	of that particular statute. That continuing
24	education requirement is for the elected
25	official.

1	MS. CUCCHI: Huh. Well, then, if it's just
2	for the official, then under what authority are
3	you certifying property appraiser staff and DOR
4	staff?
5	MS. GOLDSTEIN: The statute reads, under
6	195.002, the Department is authorized to conduct
7	schools and training for state and local
8	personnel. But we would interpret that to include
9	property appraiser staff, tax collector staff, and
10	department staff.
11	MS. CUCCHI: I would agree, but I don't hear
12	certification in that. So, again, the question
13	would be if you say that 145.10 doesn't apply to
14	staff and that's what's the certifying property
15	appraisers, then what authority
16	MS. GOLDSTEIN: 195.002
17	MS. CUCCHI: to certify property appraiser
18	staff and DOR staff.
19	MS. GOLDSTEIN: 195.002 goes on to say that we
20	also have the authority to establish by rule
21	committees for admission and certification. And
22	that's immediately after the provision that talks
23	about schools for state and local personnel.
24	MS. CUCCHI: But would they be required to
25	have the same requirements that are in 145.10?

1	
1	MS. GOLDSTEIN: That is not our
2	interpretation.
3	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. I'll probably have more on
4	that later.
5	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Do you have any other comments
6	on this rule?
7	MS. CUCCHI: Not on that rule.
8	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Moving on.
9	Rule 12-9.0077, Reinstatement, this new rule
10	specifies procedures for an individual seeking to
11	reinstate his or her certification after it has
12	lapsed. Do we have any comments on this rule?
13	MR. COTTON: No.
14	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Next we have Rule 12-9.008
15	entitled Hearing on Certification Application and
16	Expiration. This rule is just being repealed as
17	unnecessary. Do we have any comments on this
18	rule?
19	MR. COTTON: Miss Cucchi, go ahead with your
20	question or comment.
21	MS. CUCCHI: Yeah. The 9.008 provides for a
22	hearing if certification, recertification, or
23	reinstatement is not granted. And as you said,
24	the proposed rule is being eliminated or the
25	proposed rule eliminates this hearing provision.

Does that mean that everybody who applies gets 1 2 certified or that anyone who doesn't get certified has no recourse? 3 4 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Everyone that applies is not 5 automatically certified. Again, the committees 6 are there to recommend applicants for 7 certification. Do you have any other comments on that? 8 MS. CUCCHI: Not at this time. 9 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Lastly we have the two 11 forms in the package which are housed in Rule 12D-16.002, the Index to Forms. The first of 12 13 those is DR-4001, the Application for Florida Professional Certification, but formerly DR-410. 14 15 We amended this form to apply to any applicant for professional certification, annual 16 17 recertification, or for reinstatement purposes. 18 We've also added an acknowledgement of the 19 Florida Code of Ethics, which we talked about 20 before, for public officers and employees and the ethics standards set forth in the Florida 21 Constitution. 22 23 We've updated and improved our online portals 24 that you are able to submit these forms 25 electronically, along with any of the associated

fees. Do we have any comments on this form? 1 MR. COTTON: Yes. Miss Cucchi, go ahead with 2 your question or comment. 3 4 MS. CUCCHI: Yeah. On January 8th, 2019, Governor DeSantis issued Executive Order 19-11 5 addressing ethics and public trust, as well as 6 some other subjects. The Governor's order directs 7 each state agency to, quote, designate an 8 individual at his or her agency to act as the 9 10 agency's chief officer. What is the name of -- job title of DOR's 11 chief executive officer? And are they -- comments 12 13 today? MR. HAMILTON: This is Mark Hamilton, general 14 15 counsel. We also have -- Joyce (inaudible) is our ethics officer within the Department. 16 17 MS. CUCCHI: I'm sorry. Could you repeat 18 that? You were kind of quiet. 19 MR. HAMILTON: I said this is Mark Hamilton, 20 general counsel. And our ethics officer inside 21 the Department of Revenue, chief ethics officer is 22 Joyce (inaudible). 23 MS. CUCCHI: And is that person there? 24 MR. HAMILTON: I am here in attendance for the 25 legal section as general counsel for the

1	Department of Revenue. So if there's any comments
2	or additional questions related to that, I'm here.
3	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. Additionally, the
4	Governor's Order 19-11 directs state agencies to
5	require ethics that, quote, shall impose clear
6	understandable standards that in many instances
7	will go beyond the statutory code of ethics for
8	public officers and employees in Chapter 112,
9	Part 3 of the Florida Statutes.
10	Who was it that decided that they would remove
11	instead of improve the code of ethics that is
12	currently in existing law?
13	MR. HAMILTON: Miss Cucchi, obviously we're
14	staying within the scope of the rules. We
15	disagree with that characterization to identify
16	the statutory cite, constitutional cite,
17	principles. These are appropriate for the program
18	and this process and that is what we have set
19	forth in the form (inaudible).
20	MS. CUCCHI: Okay. Well, then, as my final
21	comment, I would ask that you relook at code of
22	ethics and practice what the Governor's policy,
23	what his executive directive has been and improve,
24	not remove the code of ethics.
25	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Thank you for your

Г

1	comments. Lastly we have DR-4002, the Application
2	for Approval of a Course or Continuing Ed Credit
3	Hours. Do we have any comments on this form?
4	MR. COTTON: No.
5	MS. GOLDSTEIN: The last four forms, DR-410,
6	Application for Certified Florida Collector or
7	Certified Florida Collector Assistant; DR-516, the
8	Application for Certified Florida Appraiser;
9	DR-516E, Application for Certified Florida
10	Evaluator; and DR-591, Application for Certified
11	Cadastralist of Florida, are all slated for repeal
12	as redundant to the draft DR-4001.
13	Do we have any comments on the repeal of those
14	forms?
15	MR. COTTON: No, we do not.
16	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. At this time I would
17	like to state for the record that the Department
18	is in receipt of written comments from an
19	interested party which appear to be sent, I think,
20	late Monday night, January 10th, and then early
21	this morning. These documents are currently under
22	review by the Department and they will be made
23	publicly available on our website.
24	I would now like to open it up and give an
25	opportunity for we also received comments in a

1	letter from (inaudible) as well and we will
2	provide those on our website additionally.
3	Now I would like to open it up
4	MR. COTTON: I see you have your hand raised.
5	Go ahead with your comment or question, please.
6	MS. CUCCHI: Yes. I just wanted to make sure
7	that my comment specifically but not totally
8	inclusive of, but specifically for the lower cost
9	alternative that I provided to the Department of
10	Revenue be included in this hearing record.
11	So basically all of the stuff that you just
12	said be included in this hearing record.
13	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, it will.
14	MS. CUCCHI: Okay.
15	MS. FORRESTER: And thank you for (inaudible).
16	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Absolutely. Do we have any
17	other general comments on the rule in front of us
18	today? Okay.
19	MR. COTTON: No.
20	MS. GOLDSTEIN: I would just like at this time
21	to reiterate that any written comments will be
22	accepted by the Department until January 20th.
23	And at this time I will turn this over to our
24	moderator, Miss Forrester.
25	MS. FORRESTER: Thank you. On behalf of the

Department, I want to thank everyone for 1 2 participating and sharing your comments with us. Your participation is very helpful during the rule 3 4 promulgation process. 5 Again, you may provide written comments to us. Please bear in mind that they do become part of 6 7 the public record. We ask that any written comments be provided to us by close of business 8 9 January 20th, 2021. 10 You may email those comments to 11 DORPTO@floridarevenue.com, or mail your comments 12 to the following address: Property Tax Oversight, 13 Florida Department of Revenue, Post Office Box 3000, Tallahassee, Florida 32315-3000. 14 15 MR. COTTON: Miss Cucchi? 16 MS. CUCCHI: Yes. I had a question. Why is 17 it that you are rushing public comments to 18 January 20th? Are you going to have the 19 transcript up on the website in order so that 20 taxpayers can take a look at the transcript and 21 make comments based on what was said? MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, we will provide the 22 23 transcript on our website. 24 MS. CUCCHI: And will that be done before 25 January 20th?

MS. GOLDSTEIN: 1 Yes. 2 MS. CUCCHI: What date do you expect to have the transcript done? 3 4 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I'm not aware of the court 5 reporter's turnaround time. 6 MS. CUCCHI: Can you extend your public comment period to a period of 30 days after the 7 court reporter gives it to you and you get it up 8 on the website? 9 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: We will take that into 11 consideration. MS. CUCCHI: If somebody wasn't at this 12 13 hearing, like I wasn't at the last hearing, they wouldn't even know when public comment period 14 15 ended, because you didn't write that anywhere else and that's really not fair. 16 17 If you really are wanting public 18 participation, you really should do what you used 19 to do, which was put up the transcript and allow 20 for a significant amount of time afterwards. 21 These are a lot of rules and you have a 22 training quide and you had seven years to do this. You had two years from the last attempt that you 23 24 did. And you are pushing this on taxpayers in two 25 months during which there was holidays, Christmas,

1	New Year's and COVID. And that really isn't fair
2	to taxpayers.
3	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Thank you for your
4	comment.
5	MS. FORRESTER: Are there any remaining
6	comments?
7	MR. COTTON: No.
8	MS. FORRESTER: If you do have any further
9	comments, please email them to
10	DORPTO@floridarevenue.com. And this concludes the
11	hearing. Thank you.
12	(Proceedings concluded at 10:58 a.m.)
13	* * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	
5	I, Peggy L. Ward, Court Reporter, do hereby certify
6	that I was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete
8	record of my stenographic notes.
9	DATED this 15th day of January, 2021, at
10	Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.
11	
12	
13	
14	Peggy Uard Peggy L. Ward, Court Reporter
15	Commission No.: GG957644 Expiration: February 12, 2024
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	